

Induction-induction Part 3

Constructing inductive-inductive types using a domain-specific type theory¹

Ambrus Kaposi

j.w.w. Thorsten Altenkirch, Péter Diviánszky and András Kovács

TYPES 2018
Braga
21 June 2018



SZÉCHENYI 2020

Európai Unió
Európai Szociális
Alap



BEFEKTETÉS A JÖVŐBE

¹This work was supported by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund (EFOP-3.6.3-VEKOP-16-2017-00002) and COST Action EUtypes CA15123.

Contents

- ① Another specification of IITs
 - ▶ more syntactic than Fredrik's

Contents

- ① Another specification of IITs
 - ▶ more syntactic than Fredrik's
- ② Constructing all IITs

Contents

- ① Another specification of IITs
 - ▶ more syntactic than Fredrik's

- ② Constructing all IITs
 - ▶ from a universal IIT

Contents

- 1 Another specification of IITs
 - ▶ more syntactic than Fredrik's

- 2 Constructing all IITs
 - ▶ from a universal IIT
 - ▶ conjecture:

inductive types $\xrightarrow{\text{Jakob method}}$ universal IIT $\xrightarrow{\text{this talk}}$ any IIT

Another specification of IITs

How to specify inductive types?

How to specify inductive types?

By listing their constructors.

What does it mean to list the constructors?

What does it mean to list the constructors?

A signature for an IIT is a context

What does it mean to list the constructors?

A signature for an IIT is a context in a domain-specific type theory.

What does it mean to list the constructors?

A signature for an IIT is a context in a domain-specific type theory.

- Variables
- Empty universe U with underline for EI:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash U} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : U}{\Gamma \vdash \underline{a}}$$

- Restricted function space:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : U \quad \Gamma, x : \underline{a} \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash (x : a) \Rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : (x : a) \Rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \underline{a}}{\Gamma \vdash t u : B[x \mapsto u]}$$

What does it mean to list the constructors?

A signature for an IIT is a context in a domain-specific type theory.

- Variables
- Empty universe \underline{U} with underline for EI:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \underline{U}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : \underline{U}}{\Gamma \vdash \underline{a}}$$

- Restricted function space:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : \underline{U} \quad \Gamma, x : \underline{a} \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash (x : a) \Rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : (x : a) \Rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \underline{a}}{\Gamma \vdash t u : B[x \mapsto u]}$$

Signature for natural numbers:

$\Theta := (\cdot, \text{Nat} : \underline{U}, \text{zero} : \underline{\text{Nat}}, \text{suc} : \text{Nat} \Rightarrow \underline{\text{Nat}})$

What does it mean to list the constructors?

A signature for an IIT is a context in a domain-specific type theory.

- Variables
- Empty universe \underline{U} with underline for EI:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \underline{U}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash a : \underline{U}}{\Gamma \vdash \underline{a}}$$

- Restricted function space:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : \underline{U} \quad \Gamma, x : \underline{a} \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash (x : a) \Rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : (x : a) \Rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash u : \underline{a}}{\Gamma \vdash t u : B[x \mapsto u]}$$

Signature for natural numbers:

$\Theta := (\cdot, \text{Nat} : \underline{U}, \text{zero} : \underline{\text{Nat}}, \text{suc} : \text{Nat} \Rightarrow \underline{\text{Nat}})$

Not possible: $(\cdot, T : \underline{U}, \text{evil} : (T \Rightarrow \underline{T}) \Rightarrow \underline{T})$

Standard model

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^A \in \text{Set}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{A^A \in \Gamma^A \rightarrow \text{Set}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{t^A \in (\gamma \in \Gamma^A) \rightarrow A^A(\gamma)}$$

$$(\Gamma, x : A)^A := (\gamma \in \Gamma^A) \times A^A(\gamma)$$

$$U^A(\gamma) := \text{Set}$$

$$((x : a) \Rightarrow B)^A(\gamma) := (\alpha \in a^A(\gamma)) \rightarrow B^A(\gamma, \alpha)$$

Standard model

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^A \in \text{Set}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{A^A \in \Gamma^A \rightarrow \text{Set}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A}{t^A \in (\gamma \in \Gamma^A) \rightarrow A^A(\gamma)}$$

$$(\Gamma, x : A)^A := (\gamma \in \Gamma^A) \times A^A(\gamma)$$

$$U^A(\gamma) := \text{Set}$$

$$((x : a) \Rightarrow B)^A(\gamma) := (\alpha \in a^A(\gamma)) \rightarrow B^A(\gamma, \alpha)$$

$-^A$ on a context gives algebras for that signature.

E.g. $\Theta^A = (N \in \text{Set}) \times N \times (N \rightarrow N)$

Logical relation interpretation

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^M \in \Gamma^A \rightarrow \Gamma^A \rightarrow \text{Set}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{A^M \in \Gamma^M \gamma \gamma' \rightarrow A^A \gamma \rightarrow A^A \gamma' \rightarrow \text{Set}}$$

$$(\Gamma, x : A)^M((\gamma, \alpha), (\gamma', \alpha')) := (\gamma_M : \Gamma^M(\gamma, \gamma')) \times A^M(\gamma_M, \alpha, \alpha')$$

$$U^M(\gamma_M, a, a') := a \rightarrow a' \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

$$(\underline{a})^M(\gamma_M, \alpha, \alpha') := a^M(\gamma_M, \alpha, \alpha')$$

$$((x : a) \Rightarrow B)^M(\gamma_M, f, f') := (\alpha_M \in a^M(\gamma, \alpha, \alpha')) \rightarrow B^M((\gamma_M, \alpha_M), f(\alpha), f'(\alpha'))$$

Tweaked logical relation interpretation

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^M \in \Gamma^A \rightarrow \Gamma^A \rightarrow \text{Set}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{A^M \in \Gamma^M \gamma \gamma' \rightarrow A^A \gamma \rightarrow A^A \gamma' \rightarrow \text{Set}}$$

$$(\Gamma, x : A)^M((\gamma, \alpha), (\gamma', \alpha')) := (\gamma_M : \Gamma^M(\gamma, \gamma')) \times A^M(\gamma_M, \alpha, \alpha')$$

$$U^M(\gamma_M, a, a') := a \rightarrow a'$$

$$(a)^M(\gamma_M, \alpha, \alpha') := (a^M(\gamma_M)(\alpha) = \alpha')$$

$$((x : a) \Rightarrow B)^M(\gamma_M, f, f') := (\alpha \in a^A(\gamma)) \rightarrow B^M((\gamma_M, \text{refl}), f(\alpha), f'(a^M(\gamma_M)(\alpha)))$$

Tweaked logical relation interpretation

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^M \in \Gamma^A \rightarrow \Gamma^A \rightarrow \text{Set}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{A^M \in \Gamma^M \gamma \gamma' \rightarrow A^A \gamma \rightarrow A^A \gamma' \rightarrow \text{Set}}$$

$$(\Gamma, x : A)^M((\gamma, \alpha), (\gamma', \alpha')) := (\gamma_M : \Gamma^M(\gamma, \gamma')) \times A^M(\gamma_M, \alpha, \alpha')$$

$$U^M(\gamma_M, a, a') := a \rightarrow a'$$

$$(\underline{a})^M(\gamma_M, \alpha, \alpha') := (a^M(\gamma_M)(\alpha) = \alpha')$$

$$((x : a) \Rightarrow B)^M(\gamma_M, f, f') := (\alpha \in a^A(\gamma)) \rightarrow B^M((\gamma_M, \text{refl}), f(\alpha), f'(a^M(\gamma_M)(\alpha)))$$

$-^M$ on a context gives homomorphisms of algebras. E.g.

$$\Theta^M((N, z, s), (N', z', s')) = (N_M : N \rightarrow N') \times (N_M(z) = z') \times ((\alpha \in N) \rightarrow N_M(s(\alpha)) = s'(N_M(\alpha)))$$

Constructing inductive-inductive types

Constructing natural numbers

We use the domain-specific type theory:

$$\mathbb{N} := \{t \mid \Theta \vdash t : \underline{\mathit{Nat}}\}$$

Constructing natural numbers

We use the domain-specific type theory:

$$\mathbb{N} := \{t \mid \Theta \vdash t : \underline{Nat}\}$$

N.B.

$$\Theta = (\cdot, Nat : U, zero : \underline{Nat}, suc : Nat \Rightarrow \underline{Nat})$$

Constructing natural numbers

We use the domain-specific type theory:

$$\mathbb{N} := \{t \mid \Theta \vdash t : \underline{Nat}\}$$

N.B.

$$\Theta = (\cdot, Nat : U, zero : \underline{Nat}, suc : Nat \Rightarrow \underline{Nat})$$

Constructors:

$$zero := zero \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$suc(n \in \mathbb{N}) := suc\ n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Recursor for \mathbb{N}

We need:

$$\text{rec}_{\mathbb{N}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (x : \Theta^A) \rightarrow \text{proj}_1(x)$$

Recursor for \mathbb{N}

We need:

$$\text{rec}_{\mathbb{N}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (x : \Theta^A) \rightarrow \text{proj}_1(x)$$

The standard interpretation of $t \in \mathbb{N}$ i.e. $\Theta \vdash t : \underline{\text{Nat}}$:

$$t^A \in (x \in \Theta^A) \rightarrow \underbrace{(\underline{\text{Nat}})^A(x)}_{=\text{proj}_1(x)}$$

Recursor for \mathbb{N}

We need:

$$\text{rec}_{\mathbb{N}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (x : \Theta^A) \rightarrow \text{proj}_1(x)$$

The standard interpretation of $t \in \mathbb{N}$ i.e. $\Theta \vdash t : \underline{\text{Nat}}$:

$$t^A \in (x \in \Theta^A) \rightarrow \underbrace{(\underline{\text{Nat}})^A(x)}_{=\text{proj}_1(x)}$$

$$\text{rec}_{\mathbb{N}}(t) := t^A$$

Model for the initial algebra

Fix a Θ .

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^C \in (\Theta \vdash \nu : \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma^A}$$

Model for the initial algebra

Fix a Θ .

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^C \in (\Theta \vdash \nu : \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma^A}$$

On the universe:

$$U^C(\nu, a) := \{t \mid \Theta \vdash t : \underline{a}\}$$

Model for the initial algebra

Fix a Θ .

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^C \in (\Theta \vdash \nu : \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma^A}$$

On the universe:

$$U^C(\nu, a) := \{t \mid \Theta \vdash t : \underline{a}\}$$

Initial algebra for Θ is $\Theta^C(\text{id}_\Theta) \in \Theta^A$.

Model for the recursor

Fix a Θ and a $\theta \in \Theta^A$.

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^R \in (\Theta \vdash \nu : \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma^M(\Gamma^C(\nu), \nu^A(\theta))}$$

Model for the recursor

Fix a Θ and a $\theta \in \Theta^A$.

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^R \in (\Theta \vdash \nu : \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma^M(\Gamma^C(\nu), \nu^A(\theta))}$$

On the universe:

$$U^R(\nu, a)(t) := t^A(\theta)$$

Model for the recursor

Fix a Θ and a $\theta \in \Theta^A$.

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\Gamma^R \in (\Theta \vdash \nu : \Gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma^M(\Gamma^C(\nu), \nu^A(\theta))}$$

On the universe:

$$U^R(\nu, a)(t) := t^A(\theta)$$

Recursor is given by $\Theta^R(\text{id}_\Theta) \in \Theta^M(\text{initial algebra for } \Theta, \theta)$.

Summary

Domain-specific type theory for signatures.

We do universal algebra by defining models of this type theory.

Standard model:	algebras
Tweaked logical relations:	algebra homomorphisms
Model where U is terms:	initial algebra
Model where U is the standard interpretation:	recursor
Logical predicates:	families
Tweaked dependent logical relations:	sections
Model where U is logical predicate translation:	eliminator

Summary

Domain-specific type theory for signatures.

We do universal algebra by defining models of this type theory.

Standard model:	algebras
Tweaked logical relations:	algebra homomorphisms
Model where U is terms:	initial algebra
Model where U is the standard interpretation:	recursor
Logical predicates:	families
Tweaked dependent logical relations:	sections
Model where U is logical predicate translation:	eliminator

It seems that this extends to quotient inductive-inductive types.

Challenge: higher inductive-inductive types?

CwF of CwFs

standard model	algebras	contexts
tweaked logical relations	homomorphisms	substitutions
logical predicates	families	types
tweaked dependent logical relations	sections	terms
reflexivity in the reflexive graph model	identity morphism	identity substitution
transitivity in the setoid model	morphisms composition	substitutions composition
groupoid law in the groupoid model	associativity	substitution law
...		

CwF of CwFs

standard model	algebras	contexts
tweaked logical relations	homomorphisms	substitutions
logical predicates	families	types
tweaked dependent logical relations	sections	terms
reflexivity in the reflexive graph model	identity morphism	identity substitution
transitivity in the setoid model	morphisms composition	substitutions composition
groupoid law in the groupoid model	associativity	substitution law
...		

Nice internal language for universal algebra.

Constructing inductive types à la Awodey-Frey-Speight

System F impredicative encoding:

$$\mathbb{N} := ((x : \Theta^A) \rightarrow \text{proj}_1(x))$$

Constructing inductive types à la Awodey-Frey-Speight

System F impredicative encoding:

$$\mathbb{N} := ((x : \Theta^A) \rightarrow \text{proj}_1(x))$$

N.B.

$$\Theta^A = (N \in \text{Set}) \times N \times (N \rightarrow N)$$

Constructing inductive types à la Awodey-Frey-Speight

System F impredicative encoding:

$$\mathbb{N} := ((x : \Theta^A) \rightarrow \text{proj}_1(x))$$

N.B.

$$\Theta^A = (N \in \text{Set}) \times N \times (N \rightarrow N)$$

Problem: given an $f \in \Theta^M(x, x')$ and an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(n(x)) \stackrel{?}{=} n(x')$

Constructing inductive types à la Awodey-Frey-Speight

System F impredicative encoding:

$$\mathbb{N} := ((x : \Theta^A) \rightarrow \text{proj}_1(x))$$

N.B.

$$\Theta^A = (N \in \text{Set}) \times N \times (N \rightarrow N)$$

Problem: given an $f \in \Theta^M(x, x')$ and an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(n(x)) \stackrel{?}{=} n(x')$

Solution: let's build this in the definition!

$$\mathbb{N} := (n \in (x : \Theta^A) \rightarrow \text{proj}_1(x)) \times (\forall x, x', f. f(n(x)) = n(x'))$$