

Semisimplicial types

Ambrus Kaposi

FP Lab Away Day
2013

Goal

- Make dependently typed languages easy to use for programmers, mathematicians
- Ony aspect of this:
 - Find the right type theory
 - A candidate is Homotopy Type Theory

Why HoTT?

- Extensionality: $\forall x . f x = g x \rightarrow f = g$
- Transport of structures along isomorphisms:
 - $\text{Fin } n \cong \Sigma (i : \mathbb{N}) (i < n) \rightarrow \text{Fin } n = \Sigma (i : \mathbb{N}) (i < n)$
 - If we have a monoid structure on $\text{Fin } n$, we get a monoid structure on $\Sigma (i : \mathbb{N}) (i < n)$ as well
- Higher Inductive Types
 - Quotients
- This extension of TT seems natural and supported by Voevodsky

Let's implement it!

- MLTT

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash}{1 : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma} \quad \frac{\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma \quad \delta : \Theta \rightarrow \Delta}{\sigma\delta : \Theta \rightarrow \Gamma} \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma}{\Delta \vdash A\sigma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma}{\Delta \vdash t\sigma : A\sigma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash F : (A)\text{Type} \quad \sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma}{\Delta \vdash F\sigma : (A\sigma)\text{Type}} \\
 \frac{}{() \vdash} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \quad \Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma.A \vdash} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{p : \Gamma.A \rightarrow \Gamma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma.A \vdash q : A p} \\
 \frac{\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma \quad \Gamma \vdash A \quad \Delta \vdash u : A\sigma}{(\sigma, u) : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma.A} \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma.A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda B : (A)\text{Type}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash F : (A)\text{Type} \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{app}(F, a)} \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash F : (A)\text{Type}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Fun } A F} \quad \frac{\Gamma.A \vdash b : \text{app}(F p, q)}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda b : \text{Fun } A F} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash w : \text{Fun } A F \quad \Gamma \vdash u : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{app}(w, u) : \text{app}(F, u)}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 1\sigma = \sigma = \sigma 1 \quad (\sigma\delta)\nu = \sigma(\delta\nu) \quad 1 = (p, q) \\
 (\sigma, u)\delta = (\sigma\delta, u\delta) \quad p(\sigma, u) = \sigma \quad q(\sigma, u) = u \\
 (A\sigma)\delta = A(\sigma\delta) \quad A1 = A \quad (a\sigma)\delta = a(\sigma\delta) \quad a1 = a \\
 \text{app}(w, u)\delta = \text{app}(w\delta, u\delta) \quad \text{app}(F, u)\delta = \text{app}(F\delta, u\delta) \quad (\text{Fun } A F)\sigma = \text{Fun}(A\sigma)(F\sigma) \\
 \text{app}((\lambda b)\sigma, u) = b(\sigma, u) \quad \text{app}((\lambda B)\sigma, u) = B(\sigma, u)
 \end{array}$$

- Canonicity, type checking terminates

- Adding new rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \text{Fun } A \quad (\lambda \text{Eq}_{\text{app}(F p, q)} \text{app}(f p, q) \text{app}(g p, q))}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ext } p : \text{Eq}_{\text{Fun } A F} f g}$$

...

- Makes canonicity go away, we no longer have that if $n : \mathbb{N}$, then $n \equiv \text{zero}$ or $n \equiv \text{suc } m$, it might be $n \equiv \text{fun (subst (ext } p))$
- (But we have consistency)

Towards a solution

- Try to figure out what the elimination rules might be
- Find a model in MLTT!
 - Object theory (HoTT) \rightarrow Metatheory (MLTT)
 - Bool \mapsto $\llbracket \text{Bool} \rrbracket \equiv \text{Maybe Bool}$
 - $\lambda x.t : A \rightarrow B$ \mapsto $\llbracket \lambda x.t \rrbracket \equiv \text{Just} \llbracket t \rrbracket$
 - ext \mapsto ...
 - ...
 - $a \equiv b$ \mapsto $\llbracket a \rrbracket \equiv \llbracket b \rrbracket$
 - The last rule ensures canonicity of the object theory

Example (Takeuti, Gandy)

- Simple type theory with extensionality

$$\llbracket \text{Type} \rrbracket := \Sigma (A : \text{Type}) (_ \sim _ : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Type})$$
$$\llbracket A \rightarrow B \rrbracket := \Sigma (f : \llbracket A \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket) \\ (\forall x u : \llbracket A \rrbracket . x \sim u \rightarrow f x \sim f u)$$
$$(f, f') \sim (g, g') := \forall x u : \llbracket A \rrbracket . x \sim u \rightarrow f x \sim g u$$

- Equality is defined recursively as $_ \sim _$
- Reflexivity, symmetry, transitivity of $_ \sim _$ can be proved
- This is a proof that every function is extensional viewed as model construction

Generalisation

A : Type

A : Type

$_ \sim_A _ : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$_ \sim_{x \sim y} _ : x \sim_A y \rightarrow x \sim_A y \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$_ \sim_{p \sim q} _ : p \sim_{x \sim y} q \rightarrow p \sim_{x \sim y} q \rightarrow \text{Type}$

...

laws of $_ \sim_A _ : \text{refl, sym, trans}$

laws of $_ \sim_{x \sim y} _ : \text{[left|right]-id, assoc}$

laws of $_ \sim_{p \sim q} _ : \text{complicated}$

...

Kan Simplicial sets

- Model by Voevodsky
- Consistency of HoTT with regards ZFC
- Non constructive

Semisimplicial types

- Another kind of generalisation:

$A_0 : \text{Type}$

$A_1 : A_0 \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$A_2 : \{a_0 a_1 a_2 : A_0\} \rightarrow A_1 a_0 a_1 \rightarrow A_1 a_0 a_2$
 $\rightarrow A_1 a_1 a_2 \rightarrow \text{Type}$

$A_3 : \{a_0 a_1 a_2 a_3 : A_0\}$
 $\{a_{01} : A_1 a_0 a_1\} \{a_{02} : A_1 a_0 a_2\} \{a_{03} : A_1 a_0 a_3\}$
 $\{a_{12} : A_1 a_1 a_2\} \{a_{13} : A_1 a_1 a_3\} \{a_{23} : A_1 a_2 a_3\}$
 $\rightarrow A_2 a_{01} a_{02} a_{12} \rightarrow A_2 a_{01} a_{03} a_{13}$
 $\rightarrow A_2 a_{02} a_{03} a_{23} \rightarrow A_2 a_{12} a_{13} a_{23} \rightarrow \text{Type}$

...

Kan Semisimplicial types

- Draw!
- Completion operators, filling operators
- Model by Thierry Coquand
 - The untruncated version is not yet formalized
 - The truncated version was formalized
 - small types \mapsto type of points A , edges ηA , completion, filling for the first level, completion for second level (setoids)

Weak MLTT

- Extensionality and univalence are valid in this model, however the rule $t \equiv u$ entails $\lambda x.t \equiv \lambda x.u$ doesn't hold
- Martin-Lof argues that this does not formalise the informal notion of definitional equality correctly.
 - unfolding definitions
 - refl, sym, trans
 - Preservation under substitution:
 $a \equiv b$ entails $u[x \leftarrow a] \equiv u[x \leftarrow b]$

TODO

- Formalise the truncated version in Agda
- Formalise semisimplicial types
(Nicolai, Nuo, Paolo)
- Understand
- Weakness?
- MLTT in MLTT with definitional equality?